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In situ assessment method for timbers based on shear strengths predicted with screw withdrawals
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

Assessment of timbers is required to use existing timber structures for safe and long years. Both of non- and semi-destructive testing methods were used for these assessments of timbers in existing structures. Although non-destructive testing methods are effective in searching of timbers for defects, semi-destructive testing methods such as screw withdrawal resistance measurements have efficiency for evaluating integrity of timbers [1]. Withdrawal resistance measurements had used wood-screws for long years; new probes were developed to measure withdrawals in deep of timbers. The new probes are manufactured from metric threaded rods. The probes are applied not only for ‘Single Withdrawal Resistance (SWR)’ measurement but also ‘Coaxial Multiple Withdrawal Resistance’ (CMWR) measurements. The CMWR is able to provide withdrawal resistances distributions on timber cross-sections. Assessment methods to evaluate integrity of timbers using these withdrawals had been proposed [2].

1.2. Objectives

The objective of this paper is to propose the method to evaluate integrity of timbers based on their densities and shear strengths estimated from their withdrawal resistances.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Specimens

Withdrawal resistance measurements and shear loading tests of timbers were conducted. Specimens for withdrawal resistance measurements and shear loading tests were prepared. Species of the timber specimens were Sugi (Cryptomeria japonica), Hinoki (Chamaecyparis obtusa) and Douglas-Fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii). Dimension of the specimens was 30 × 30 × 30 mm. These specimens were cut from a sound and new lumber (cross-section: 105 mm × 105 mm) excluding defects. 16 pairs of Sugi, 9 pairs of Hinoki and Douglas-Fir specimens were used. One-half of specimens were used for withdrawal resistance measurements, the others were used for shear loading tests. A pair of specimens for the withdrawal resistance measurements and the shear loading tests is adjacent along their timber grains. Moisture contents of these Sugi, Hinoki and D-Fir specimens were around 8, 9 and 11% by moisture meters. Densities of them were around 0.38, 0.45 and 0.53 g/cm³ in air condition.

2.2. Probes

Withdrawal resistant measurements uses metric-screw type probes, which are shown in fig. 1. This metric-screw type probe was manufactured from...
metric-screws which were commercially available metric threaded rods adjusted to the ISO 261 and 724. The probes have a short-thread which is made up removing the rest of thread from threaded rods by machines. Diameters of the probe threads are constant along the axis. Dimensions of the probe are shown in fig. 1. Length and diameter (peak to peak) of the thread were 12.85 mm and 3.87 mm. Diameter of the remaining shafts was 2.8 mm. Pitch of the threads was 0.7 mm. The probes were screwed downwardly and withdrawn upwardly in the withdrawal measurements. The probes with this dimension are described as ‘Standard Probes’ in this paper.

2.3. Withdrawal Resistance Measurement

Fig. 2 shows withdrawal resistance measurement. To begin with measurements, a pre-drilled hole of 3 mm (2.94 mm) in diameter is made in the centre of a plane of specimens. The probe is screwed into a pre-drilled hole. Penetration depth of the probe tip in specimens is 20 mm. The depth in the specimens is controlled by the depth indicator marked on the probes. The probe is pulled out slowly and withdrawal resistances are measured simultaneously by the loading apparatus. Constant rate of withdrawals are applied by clamp-type portable loading apparatus driven by electric-drivers.

2.4. Normalized Withdrawal Resistance

Measured withdrawal resistances are affected by areas of outer cylindrical shear planes around the probe threads. Removing effect of dimensions of the probe threads, measured withdrawal resistances $W_R$ were normalized by outer cylindrical area of the thread. These normalized withdrawal resistance (NWR) $\delta W_R$ is obtained by equation (1) [3]. $\delta W_R$ indicates estimated shear strength of timber on the outer cylindrical shear plane shown in fig. 3. If the probe is screwed into their longitudinal surfaces (LR and/or LT planes) of the timbers, direction of the measured $\delta W_R$ (shear strength) will be perpendicular to the grain (RT-direction: Radius or Tangential direction) of the timber.

$$\delta W_R = \frac{W_R}{R_t \times \pi \times l}$$

$\delta W_R$ – Normalized Withdrawal Resistance (N/mm²)
$W_R$ – Withdrawal Resistance (N)
$R_t$ – Diameter of Threads (peak to peak, mm)
$\pi$ – Circular Constant
$l$ – Length of Probe Thread (mm)

2.5. Shear Loading Test

In order to obtain regression formula between densities and shear strengths, shear loading test based on JIS Z 2101 was applied for block-shear specimens. Fig. 4 shows the specimens for shear loading test of timbers. Arrows in fig. 4 show directions of applied pair of shear forces. Gap between pair of shear forces was 2 mm. Universal loading apparatus and shear loading jig of INSTRON 2820-060 was used for shear loading tests. Rate of loading was 1 mm/min.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Calculation of Densities from NWR

3.1.1. Relationship between Withdrawal Resistances and Densities

Relationships between measured densities $D_m$ and $\lambda W_K$ of three species of Sugi, Hinoki and D-Fir are shown in fig. 5. A regression formula between $D_m$ and $\lambda W_K$ are shown in Equation (2). In fig. 5, regression coefficients $a_1$ and $a_2$ of equation (2) were 0.032 and 0.1745 respectively. Square of coefficients of correlation ($R^2$) between densities $D_m$ and $\lambda W_K$ was 0.923 in fig. 5. This $R^2$ value is reasonably good.

$$D_m = a_1 \lambda W_K + a_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$D_m$ – Measured Density (g/cm$^3$)

$a_1, a_2$ – Regression Coefficients

![Fig. 5. NWR and Density](image)

3.2. Calculation of Shear Strengths from NWR

3.2.1. Relationship between Densities and Shear Strengths

Measured shear strengths of parallel to the grain (L-direction) $M_{ShL}$ and measured density $D_m$ of the specimens are plotted in fig. 7. Regression formula of these plots is shown in equation (4). Regression coefficients $b_1$ and $b_2$ of equation (4) are 16.024 and -0.4941 in fig. 7 respectively. Square of the correlation coefficients $R^2$ of this linear approximation formula was 0.8022. This $R^2$ value is reasonably good.

$$M_{ShL} = b_1 D_m + b_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$m_{ShL}$ – Measured Shear Strength of L-direction (N/mm$^2$)

$b_1, b_2$ – Regression Coefficients

![Fig. 7. Measured Density $D_m$ and Measured Shear Strength $M_{ShL}$](image)

3.2.2. Calculation of Densities

Equation (3) provides calculated densities $D_c$ of these specimens in air dry condition, which is based on equation (2).

$$D_c = a_1 \lambda W_K + a_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$D_c$ – Calculated Density (g/cm$^3$)

![Fig. 6. Verification of Calculated Density $D_c$](image)

Regression coefficients $a_1$ and $a_2$ in equation (3) are also 0.032 and 0.1745 respectively. Measured density $D_m$ and calculated densities $D_c$ of the specimens are plotted in fig. 6, which compare the calculated densities $D_c$ with the measured densities $D_m$. Standard deviation $\sigma$ of the calculated density $D_c$ divided by measured density $D_m$ was 4.0%. Two dotted lines of 1+$2\sigma$ times and 1–$2\sigma$ times of the measured densities $D_m$ are indicated on the fig. 6. Fig. 6 shows most of the calculated densities $D_c$ is located within these two lines. Equation (3) suggests $\lambda W_K$ would be lost in case timber density is 0.1745. The withdrawals are lost before the density would be zero.

$$D_c = a_1 \lambda W_K + a_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$D_c$ – Calculated Density (g/cm$^3$)

3.2.2. Calculation of Shear Strengths

Calculated shear strengths $c_{ShL}$ are obtained from equation (5), which is derived from equation (3) and equation (4) equating of $D_m$ in equation (4) with $D_c$ in equation (3). Shear strengths $c_{ShL}$ are calculated from $\lambda W_K$ using equation (5). Regression coefficients $c_1$ and $c_2$ of equation (5) were 0.5128 and 2.3021 respectively. Measured shear strength $M_{ShL}$ and calculated shear strength $c_{ShL}$ are plotted in fig. 8, which compares the
calculated shear strength \(cSh_L\) with the measured shear strength \(mSh_L\). Standard deviation \(\sigma\) of the calculated shear strength \(cSh_L\) divided by measured shear strength \(mSh_L\) was 8.2%. Two dotted lines of 1 + 2\(\sigma\) times and 1 – 2\(\sigma\) times of the measured shear strength \(mSh_L\) are added on the fig. 8. Fig. 8 shows most of the calculated shear strength \(cSh_L\) is located within these two dotted lines. Standard deviation \(\sigma\) of the calculated shear strength is almost twice of standard deviation \(\sigma\) of the calculated densities.

\[
c_{l}Sh_L = c_1 \times M_n W + c_2
\]  
(5)

\(c_{l}\), \(c_2\) – Regression Coefficients

\(c_{l}\) – Calculated Shear Strength of L-direction (N/mm²)

3.3. In-situ Assessment Method using Withdrawal Resistances

3.3.1. Benchmark Method

Benchmark method use benchmark timbers which are reference selected from the same species as object timber. The benchmark timber is required to have average properties of the object timber species. If it is difficult to prepare suitable timbers for benchmark, un-degraded part of the objects is available as the benchmark timbers. The same probes as to the thread diameters and lengths must be applied for the withdrawal measurements of the object timbers and the benchmark timbers. Integrity index \(I_B\) is corresponding to the ratio of measured properties of the object timbers to those of the benchmark timbers, which is defined in equation (6). \(P_r\) is measured residual properties of the object timbers. \(P_b\) is measured properties of the benchmark timbers. The properties are densities or shear strengths. Measured withdrawals would be available instead of densities and shear strengths, because those are calculated from measured withdrawals. Measurement of withdrawals is required for the same grain direction of the benchmark timber as that of object timbers.

\[
I_B = \frac{P_r}{P_b}
\]  
(6)

\(I_B\) – Integrity index of benchmark method

\(P_r\) – Residual properties of object timbers

\(P_b\) – Properties of benchmark timbers

3.3.2. Residual Performance Index in Benchmark Method

Mechanical performance of existing timbers is affected not only integrity of timber, timber species but also their cross-sectional areas. Residual performance of the object timbers with their integrity and sectional area losses is evaluated by residual performance index \(I_{PB}\) which is given in equation (7). The factor \(F_S\) in Equation (7) corresponds to the cross-sectional areas loss and defined in equation (8). \(A_r\) is residual/effective cross-sectional areas of the object timbers, and \(A_o\) is original/initial cross-sectional areas of them.

\[
I_{PB} = I_B \times F_S
\]  
(7)

\[
F_S = \frac{A_o}{A_r}
\]  
(8)

\(I_{PB}\) – Residual performance index in benchmark method

\(F_S\) – Residual cross-sectional areas factor of object timbers

\(A_r\) – Residual/effective cross-sectional areas of object timbers

\(A_o\) – Original/initial cross-sectional areas of object timbers

3.3.3. Nominal Value Method

Nominal value method is available instead of Benchmark Method. Nominal value method does not need benchmark timbers, but need nominal properties such as densities or mechanical properties of timbers as same timber species as the objects. Those are often listed in major wood handbooks. The nominal properties listed in wood handbooks are average or lower values of clear small timbers [4]. Screw withdrawals are affected by the grain direction of timbers. Withdrawal measurements are applied for perpendicular to the grain direction of timbers in general; these withdrawal resistance measurements provide shear strengths perpendicular to the grain as shown in fig. 3. Because nominal shear strengths listed in wood handbooks are those of parallel to the grain, it is required to estimate shear strengths parallel to the grain from the measured withdrawals perpendicular to the grain. Equation (5) calculates shear strength parallel to the grain from Normalized Withdrawal Resistances \(NWR\) which is obtained from screw withdrawals perpendicular to the grain of timber.

Direct use of withdrawals \(W_R\) is valuable for the assessment works in situ. Withdrawals \(W_R\) is calculated
from equation (1) using \( sW_R \). There are two cases to assess timbers based on their densities or shear strength parallel to the grain. In case densities are used for assessment, withdrawal criteria \( W_{RD} \) correspond to density \( D \) are obtained from equation (10), because \( sW_R \) is derived from density \( D \) by equation (2). Regression coefficients \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \) in the equation (2) are also used in equation (9).

\[
W_{RD} = \frac{D - a_2}{a_1} \times R_x \times \pi \times l \tag{9}
\]

In case shear strengths parallel to the grain are used for assessment of timber, withdrawal criteria \( W_{RS} \) correspond to shear strength \( Sh_L \) are obtained from equation (10), because \( sW_R \) is derived from shear strength \( Sh_L \) by equation (4). Regression coefficients \( c_2 \) and \( c_3 \) in the equation (4) are also used in equation (10).

\[
W_{RS} = \frac{Sh_L - c_2}{c_1} \times R_x \times \pi \times l \tag{10}
\]

For the purpose to use these withdrawal criteria \( W_{RD} \) and \( W_{RS} \) for the assessment of timber in situ, these criteria \( W_{RD} \) and \( W_{RS} \) are plotted in figures. Assuming these equation (3) and (5) are able to be applied for all coniferous species, withdrawal criteria \( W_{RD} \) correspond to nominal density \( D_n \) of five timber species are plotted in fig. 9 (a). Withdrawal criteria \( W_{RS} \) correspond to nominal shear strength \( Sh_Ln \) of these timber species are also plotted in fig. 9 (b). Sugi and Hinoki are major domestic timbers used for constructions in Japan. Spruce (Picea) and Western Hemlock (Tsuga) in fig. 9 (a) and fig. 9 (b) are commercially available timbers in Japan imported from North American. These criteria are affected by dimensions of the probes. These withdrawal criteria and measured withdrawals shown in fig. 9 (a) and fig. 9 (b) are effective in case the standard probes are used. Fig. 9 (a) shows the withdrawal criteria \( AW_{RD} \), \( \ell W_{RD} \), \( LW_{RD} \) correspond to the average, upper and lower values of nominal densities \( D_n \) of these timber species. Fig. 9 (b) shows the withdrawal criteria \( AW_{RS} \), \( \ell W_{RS} \), \( LW_{RS} \) correspond to the average and lower values of nominal shear strength \( Sh_{Ln} \) of these timber species. Plots of average, upper and lower in fig. 9 (a) correspond to the nominal average, maximum and minimum densities \( D_n \) of these timber species. Plots of average and lower in fig. 9 (b) correspond to the nominal average and minimum of shear strengths \( Sh_{Ln} \) of these timber species. These nominal values were obtained from the tests using clear timber specimens. Clear small timbers were selected visually excluding defects such as timber knots in these tests [4].

The procedure of the nominal value method:

Select timber species of the object timber on X-axis of fig. 9 (a) or fig. 9 (b). Measure withdrawals \( W_R \) of the object timbers using the standard probes shown in fig. 1. Find the position of the measured withdrawals \( W_R \) on Y-axis in these figures. Find intersection points of the timber species on X-axis and the withdrawals \( W_R \) on Y-axis in these figures. In case another probe is used, adjusted withdrawals \( W_R' \) by equation (11) should be used for the assessment using fig. 9 (a) and fig. 9 (b).

\[
W_R' = \frac{R_x \times I}{R_x \times l} \times W_{RSNS} \tag{11}
\]

Assessment by the nominal value method using integrity indexes:

In case the intersections of the timber species on X-axis and measured withdrawals \( W_R \) on Y-axis are located upside of the ‘Lower or Average withdrawal criteria \( \ell W_{RD} \), \( LW_{RD} \)’ in fig. 9 (a), it would be assessed the objects have greater densities than the ‘nominal lower or average densities \( D_n \), \( D_n' \)’ of the same timber species as them. In case the intersections are located upside of the ‘Upper withdrawal criteria \( AW_{RD} \), \( \ell W_{RD} \)’ in fig. 9 (b), measured withdrawals \( W_R \) might be affected by any defects such as hard knots in timber or by other measurement errors. In case the intersections are located upside of the ‘Lower or Average withdrawal criteria \( AW_{RS} \), \( \ell W_{RS} \)’ in fig. 9 (a), \( \ell W_{RS} \), \( \ell W_{RS} \)’ of the same timber species as them. These figures provides ratio of residual properties of the object timbers to their nominal properties consequently. These ratios are based on densities or shear strengths and are available for quantitative integrity assessment of timbers. Integrity index \( I_N \) is ratio of the residual properties \( P_r \) of the object timbers to the nominal properties \( P_N \) of same species as them, which is defined in equation (12). \( P_r \) is residual properties such as densities \( D_n \) or shear strengths \( Sh_L \) of the object timbers. \( P_N \) is nominal properties such as densities \( D_n \) or shear strengths \( Sh_L \) of same timber species as the object timbers. Fig. 9 (a) and fig. 9 (b) are able to indicate these integrity indexes visually.

\[
I_N = \frac{P_r}{P_N} \tag{12}
\]

\( I_N \) – Integrity index of nominal value method

\( P_r \) – Residual properties of object timber

\( P_N \) – Nominal properties of the same timber species as object timbers
3.3.4. Residual Performance Index in Nominal Value Method

Mechanical performance of timber is affected not only integrity of timber but also their cross-sectional area. Residual performance indexes $I_{PN}$ in Nominal Value Method are given by equation (13). Factor $F_s$ in equation (13) is correspond to the cross-sectional areas loss and defined in equation (8).

\[ I_{PN} = I_H \times F_s \]  

$I_{PN}$ – Residual performance index in Nominal Value Method.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In situ assessment method of timbers using screw withdrawals was proposed. The probes manufactured from metric threaded rods were developed. Normalized withdrawal resistances $W_R$ of the probes are proposed, which indicate shear strengths on the shear planes around the outer cylindrical areas of the probe threads. Regression formulae calculating timber densities and shear strengths from Normalized Withdrawal Resistance were proposed. Standard deviations of calculated densities and shear strengths to the measured them were 4.0% and 8.2% respectively. Two assessment methods of Benchmark Method and Nominal Value Method using withdrawals are proposed. The benchmark method compares withdrawals of object timbers with those of benchmark timbers. The benchmark timbers must be new, sound and average timbers of the same timber species as the objects. The nominal value method use nominal densities and shear strengths (parallel to the grain). Withdrawals correspond to the nominal values of densities and shear strengths were calculated and plotted on figures for the assessments in each species. These figures are used to compare measured withdrawals of the objects with withdrawal criteria correspond to the nominal densities and shear strengths. These figures are available to assess integrity of timbers physically and quantitatively. Ratios of properties of the object timbers to those of benchmark timbers were used as integrity index of benchmark method. Ratios of properties of the object timbers to those of nominal properties were also used as integrity index of nominal value method. Residual performances indexes of the object timbers were proposed, which were obtained from these integrity indexes and cross-sectional area factors.
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Abstract

In situ assessment method of timbers using screw withdrawals is proposed. The screw withdrawals are obtained from withdrawal resistance measurements of timbers using probes manufactured from metric threaded rod. Two assessment methods of ‘Benchmark Method’ and ‘Nominal Value Method’ are proposed. The benchmark method compares both of withdrawals of object timbers and ‘benchmark timbers’ in order to evaluate the integrity of the objects. The benchmark timbers are new and sound timbers of the same species as the objects. The nominal value method use nominal densities or shear strengths of the same timber species as the objects. Withdrawal resistance measurements and shear loading tests of three coniferous species of timbers were conducted in order to determine two regression formulae between their withdrawals and densities, densities and shear strengths. Two set of linear regression coefficients for estimating densities and shear strengths from withdrawals were obtained. Withdrawal criteria correspond to the nominal densities and shear strengths are calculated using these two regression formulae. The withdrawals measured from the objects are compared to the withdrawal criteria correspond to the nominal values of the same species as the objects. In situ assessment method of timbers using quantitative integrity indexes obtained by the benchmark method and nominal value method are proposed. Residual performances indexes of the object timbers are proposed, which are obtained from these integrity indexes and cross-sectional area factors.

Streszczenie

Artykuł prezentuje metodę ewaluacji in situ drewna w konstrukcjach. Badania na wyrwanie wkręta prowadzone są za pomocą sondy wykonanej z pręta z metrycznym gwintem i mierzą opór drewna przy wyrwaniu wkręta. Przedstawiono dwie metody oceny: ‘metodę porównawczą’ i ‘metodę wartości nominalnej’. Metoda porównawcza porównuje opór przy wyrwaniu wkręta z testowanymi elementów drewnianych do wartości oporu generowanych dla ‘elementów referencyjnych’, aby określić integralność elementu. Elementy referencyjne to elementy z nowego i zdrowego drewna tego samego gatunku, co drewno badanego elementu. Metoda wartości nominalnej wykorzystuje nominalne wartości gęstości lub wytrzymałości na ściśnięcie dla gatunku drewna takiego, z jakiego wykonany jest badany element. Przeprowadzono pomiary za pomocą badania na wyrwanie wkręta i testy wytrzymałości na ściśnięcie drewna pochodzącego z trzech gatunków iglastych, aby ustalić dwa wzory współczynnika regresji pomiędzy oporem przy wyrwaniu wkręta i gęstością, oraz gęstością i wytrzymałością na ściśnięcie. Na podstawie badań otrzymano dwa zestawy liniowych współczynników regresji dla oszacowania gęstości i wytrzymałości na ściśnięcie. Wartości oporu przy wyrwaniu wkręta odpowiadają nominalnym wartościom gęstości, a wytrzymałość na ściśnięcie oblicza się na podstawie dwóch wzorów na regresję. Wartości oporu przy wyrwaniu wkręta zmierzone dla elementów są porównywane z wartościami nominalnymi dla takich gatunków drewna, jak użyte w danej konstrukcji. Artykuł przedstawia metodę oceny in situ z wykorzystaniem ilościowych indeksów integralności drewna otrzymanych za pomocą metody porównawczej oraz metody opartej na wartościach nominalnych. Zaproponowano też indeksy pracy szczątkowej dla badanego drewna w konstrukcjach, które zostały określone na podstawie indeksów integralności i czynników przekrojowych.