

LUDWIK BYSZEWSKI, TERESA WINIARSKA*

INTEGRODIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION NONLOCAL
PROBLEM FOR THE FIRST ORDER EQUATIONRÓŻNICZKOWO-CĄŁKOWE NIELOKALNE ZAGADNIENIE
EWOLUCYJNE DLA RÓWNAŃ PIERWSZEGO RZĘDU

Abstract

The aim of the paper is to prove two theorems on the existence and uniqueness of mild and classical solutions of a semilinear integrodifferential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem for the first order equation.

The method of semigroups and the Banach fixed point theorem are applied to prove the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of the problem considered.

Keyword: integrodifferential, evolution, nonlocal problem, Banach spaces

Streszczenie

W pracy dowodzimy dwóch twierdzeń o istnieniu i jednoznaczności całkowych i klasycznych rozwiązań semiliniowego różniczkowo-całkowego ewolucyjnego nielokalnego zagadnienia Cauchy'ego dla równania rzędu pierwszego. Aby udowodnić istnienie i jednoznaczność rozwiązań rozważanego zagadnienia stosowana jest metoda półgrup i twierdzenie Banacha o punkcie stałym.

Słowa kluczowe: różniczkowo-całkowe, ewolucyjne, nielokalne zagadnienie, przestrzenie Banacha

* Dr hab. Ludwik Byszewski, prof. PK, dr hab. Teresa Winiarska, prof. PK, Instytut Matematyki, Wydział Fizyki, Matematyki i Informatyki, Politechnika Krakowska.

1. Introduction

In this paper we prove two theorems on the existence and uniqueness of mild and classical solutions of semilinear integrodifferential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem for a first order equation. To do it the method of semigroups and the Banach fixed point theorem will be used. The Cauchy problem considered here is of the form:

$$u'(t) + Au(t) = f(t, u(t), u(b(t))) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t, s, u(s))ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+a} f_2(t, s, u(s))ds, \quad t \in (t_0, t_0 + a], \quad (1)$$

$$u(t_0) + g(u) = u_0 \quad (2)$$

where $t_0 \geq 0$, $a > 0$, $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space E , f, f_i ($i = 1, 2$), g and b are given functions satisfying some assumptions and $u_0 \in E$.

The results obtained in the paper, are generalizations of some results from [1]–[5].

2. Preliminaries

In this paper we shall assume that E is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on E and $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is the domain of A .

Throughout the paper we shall use the notation:

$$I = [t_0, t_0 + a], \quad \text{where } t_0 \geq 0 \quad \text{and } a > 0,$$

$$\Delta = \{(t, s) : t_0 \leq s \leq t \leq t_0 + a\},$$

$$M = \sup\{\|T(t)\|, t \in [0, a]\}$$

and

$$X = C(I, E).$$

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$u'(t) + Au(t) = k(t), \quad t \in I \setminus \{t_0\}, \quad (3)$$

$$u(t_0) = x. \quad (4)$$

A function $u : I \rightarrow E$ is said to be a classical solution of the problem (3)–(4) if:

- (i) u is continuous on I and continuously differentiable on $I \setminus \{t_0\}$,
- (ii) $u'(t) + Au(t) = k(t)$ for $t \in I \setminus \{t_0\}$,
- (iii) $u(t_0) = x$.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that E is a reflexive Banach space, $k : I \rightarrow E$ is Lipschitz continuous on I and $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.

Then the Cauchy problem (3)–(4) has the only one classical solution u and it is given by the formula

$$u(t) = T(t-t_0)x + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)k(s)ds, \quad t \in I.$$

Proof. One can find a proof of this theorem in [5, Section 4.2].

3. Theorem about a mild solution

A function $u \in C(I, E)$ and satisfying the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & T(t-t_0)u_0 - T(t-t_0)g(u) + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)(f(s, u(s, u(b(s)))) + \\ & + \int_{t_0}^s f_1(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+a} f_2(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau)ds, \quad t \in I, \end{aligned}$$

is said to be a mild solution of the integrodifferential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem (1)–(2).

Theorem 3.1. Assume that:

- (i) $f : I \times E^2 \rightarrow E$ is continuous with respect to the first variable in I , $f_i : \Delta \times E \rightarrow E$ ($i = 1, 2$) are continuous with respect to the first and second variables on Δ , $g : X \rightarrow E$, $b : I \rightarrow I$ are continuous and there exist positive constants L , L_i ($i = 1, 2$) and K such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(s, z_1, z_2) - f(s, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2)\| &\leq L \sum_{i=1}^2 \|z_i - \tilde{z}_i\| \\ \text{for } s \in I, z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in E \quad (i = 1, 2), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_i(s, \tau, z) - f_i(s, \tau, \tilde{z})\| &\leq L_i \|z - \tilde{z}\| \quad (i = 1, 2) \\ \text{for } (s, \tau) \in \Delta, z, \tilde{z} \in E \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\|g(w) - g(\tilde{w})\| \leq K \|w - \tilde{w}\|_X \quad \text{for } w, \tilde{w} \in X. \quad (7)$$

- (ii) $M[a(2L + aL_1 + aL_2) + K] < 1$.

- (iii) $u_0 \in E$.

Then the integrodifferential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem (1)–(2) has a unique mild solution.

Proof. Introduce the operator $F : X \rightarrow X$ given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (Fw)(t) := & T(t-t_0)u_0 - T(t-t_0)g(w) + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)(f(s, w(s)), w(b(s)) + \\ & + \int_{t_0}^s f_1(s, \tau, w(\tau))d\tau + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+a} f_2(s, \tau, w(\tau))d\tau)ds, \quad t \in I \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

From (8) and (5)–(7),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (Fw)(t) - (F\tilde{w})(t) \| \leq \| T(t-t_0) \| \| g(w) - g(\tilde{w}) \| + \\
& + \int_{t_0}^t \| T(t-s) \| \| f(s, w(s), w(b(s))) - f(s, \tilde{w}(s), \tilde{w}(b(s))) \| ds + \\
& + \int_{t_0}^t \| T(t-s) \| \left(\int_{t_0}^s \| f_1(s, \tau, w(\tau)) - f_1(s, \tau, \tilde{w}(\tau)) \| d\tau \right) ds + \\
& + \int_{t_0}^t \| T(t-s) \| \left(\int_{t_0}^s \| f_2(s, \tau, w(\tau)) - f_2(s, \tau, \tilde{w}(\tau)) \| d\tau \right) ds \leq MK \| w - \tilde{w} \|_X + \\
& + ML \int_{t_0}^t (\| w(s) - \tilde{w}(s) \| + \| w(b(s)) - \tilde{w}(b(s)) \|) ds + \\
& + ML_1 \int_{t_0}^t \left(\int_{t_0}^s \| w(\tau) - \tilde{w}(\tau) \| d\tau \right) ds + ML_2 \int_{t_0}^t \left(\int_{t_0}^s \| w(\tau) - \tilde{w}(\tau) \| d\tau \right) ds \leq \\
& \leq M(a(2L + aL_1 + aL_2) + K) \| w - \tilde{w} \|_X \quad \text{for } w, \tilde{w} \in X \quad \text{and } t \in I. \quad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

If we define

$$q = M(a(2L + aL_1 + aL_2) + K)$$

then, by (9) and assumption (ii),

$$\| Fw - F\tilde{w} \| \leq q \| w - \tilde{w} \|_X \quad \text{for } w, \tilde{w} \in X \quad (10)$$

with $0 < q < 1$. This shows that F is a contraction on X .

Consequently, by (10), operator F satisfies all the assumptions of the Banach fixed point theorem. Therefore, in space X there is the only one fixed point of F and this point is the mild solution of the integrodifferential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem (1)–(2). So, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

4. Theorem about a classical solution

A function $u : I \rightarrow E$ is said to be a classical solution of the integrodifferential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem (1)–(2) if:

- (i) u is continuous on I and continuously differentiable on $I \setminus \{t_0\}$,
- (ii) $u'(t) + Au(t) = f(t, u(t), u(b(t))) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t, s, u(s)) ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+a} f_2(t, s, u(s)) ds$ for $t \in I \setminus \{t_0\}$,
- (iii) $u(t_0) + g(u) = u_0$.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that:

- (i) E is a reflexive Banach space and $u_0 \in E$.
- (ii) $f : I \times E^2 \rightarrow E$, $f_i : \Delta \times E \rightarrow E$ ($i = 1, 2$) are continuous with respect to the second variables in I , $g : X \rightarrow E$, $b : I \rightarrow I$ is continuous on I and there exist positive constants C , C_i ($i = 1, 2$) and K such that:

$$\|f(s, z_1, z_2) - f(\tilde{s}, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2)\| \leq C \left(|s - \tilde{s}| + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|z_i - \tilde{z}_i\| \right) \quad (11)$$

for $s, \tilde{s} \in I, z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in E \quad (i = 1, 2),$

$$\|f_i(s, \tau, z) - f_i(\tilde{s}, \tau, \tilde{z})\| \leq C_i \left(|s - \tilde{s}| + \|z - \tilde{z}\| \right) \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad (12)$$

for $(s, \tau), (\tilde{s}, \tau) \in \Delta, z, \tilde{z} \in E$

and

$$\|g(w) - g(\tilde{w})\| \leq K \|w - \tilde{w}\|_X \quad \text{for } w, \tilde{w} \in X. \quad (13)$$

(iii) $M[a(2C + aC_1 + aC_2) + K] < 1.$

Then the integrodifferential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem (1)–(2) has a unique mild solution (which is denoted by) u . Moreover, if $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, $g(u) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and if there exists a positive constant \mathcal{H} such that:

$$\|u(b(s)) - u(b(\tilde{s}))\| \leq H \|u(s) - u(\tilde{s})\| \quad \text{for } s, \tilde{s} \in I \quad (14)$$

then u is the unique classical solution of the problem (1)–(2).

Proof. Since all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, it is easy to see that the problem (1)–(2) possesses a unique mild solution which according to the last assumption is denoted by u .

Now, we shall show that u is the classical solution of the problem (1)–(2). To this end, introduce:

$$N := \max_{s \in I} \|f(s, u(s), u(b(s)))\|, \quad (15)$$

$$N_i := \max_{(s, \tau) \in \Delta} \|f_i(s, \tau, u(\tau))\| \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad (16)$$

and observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t+h) - u(t) &= (T(t+h-t_0)u_0 - T(t-t_0)u_0) - (T(t+h-t_0)g(u) - T(t-t_0)g(u)) + \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^{t+h} T(t+h-s)(f(s, u(s), u(b(s)))) + \int_{t_0}^s f_1(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+a} f_2(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau ds + \\ &- \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)(f(s, u(s), u(b(s)))) + \int_{t_0}^s f_1(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+a} f_2(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau ds = \\ &= T(t-t_0)(T(h)-I)u_0 - T(t-t_0)(T(h)-I)g(u) + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} T(t+h-s)(f(s, u(s), u(b(s)))) + \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^s f_1(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+a} f_2(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau ds + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)(f(s+h, u(s+h), u(b(s+h)))) + \\ &- f(s, u(s), u(b(s)))) ds + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) \left(\int_{t_0}^s (f_1(s+h, \tau, u(\tau)) - f_1(s, \tau, u(\tau)))d\tau \right) ds + \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) \left(\int_s^{s+h} f_1(s+h, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau \right) ds + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) \left(\int_{t_0}^{t_0+a} f_2(s+h, \tau, u(\tau)) - f_2(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for $t \in [t_0, t_0 + a]$, $h > 0$ and $t+h \in (t_0, t_0 + a]$.

Consequently, by (17), (15), (16), (11), (12) and (14),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t+h)-u(t)\| &\leq hM \|Au_0\| + hM \|Ag(u)\| + hM (N + aN_1 + aN_2) + \\
&\quad + MCah + MC \int_{t_0}^t (\|u(s+h)-u(s)\| + \|u(b(s+h))-u(b(s))\|) ds \leq \\
&\leq Ma^2C_1h + MaN_1h + Ma^2C_2h = \\
&= C_*h + MC \int_{t_0}^t (\|u(s+h)-u(s)\| + \|u(b(s+h))-u(b(s))\|) ds \leq \\
&\leq C_*h + MC(1+\mathcal{H}) \int_{t_0}^t \|u(s+h)-u(s)\| ds
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

for $t \in [t_0, t_0 + a]$, $h > 0$ and $t+h \in (t_0, t_0 + a]$.

From (18) and Gronwall's inequality:

$$\|u(t+h)-u(t)\| \leq C_*e^{aMC(1+\mathcal{H})}h$$

for $t \in [t_0, t_0 + a]$, $h > 0$ and $t+h \in (t_0, t_0 + a]$. Hence u is Lipschitz continuous on I .

The Lipschitz continuity of u on I combined with the Lipschitz continuity of f on $I \times E^2$ and f_i ($i = 1, 2$) with respect to the first variables in I imply that the function:

$$I \ni t \rightarrow f(t, u(t), u(b(t))) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t, s, u(s))ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+a} f_2(t, s, u(s))ds$$

is Lipschitz continuous on I . This property of f together with the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 imply, by Theorems 2.1 and 3.1, that the linear Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
v'(t) + Av(t) &= f(t, u(t), u(b(t))) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t, s, u(s))ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+a} f_2(t, s, u(s))ds, \quad t \in I \setminus \{t_0\}, \\
v(t_0) &= u_0 - g(u)
\end{aligned}$$

has a unique classical solution v and it is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
v(t) &= T(t-t_0)u_0 - T(t-t_0)g(u) + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)(f(s, u(s), u(b(s))) + \\
&\quad + \int_{t_0}^s f_1(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+a} f_2(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau)ds = u(t), \quad t \in I.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, u is the unique classical solution of the integrodifferential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem (1)–(2) and, therefore, the proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

References

- [1] Balachandran K., Ilamaram S., *Existence and uniqueness of mild and strong solutions of a semilinear evolution equation with nonlocal conditions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **25.4** (1994), 411-418.

- [2] Byszewski L., *Existence and uniqueness of mild and classical solutions of semilinear functional–differential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem*, Selected Problems of Mathematics, Cracow University of Technology, Anniversary Issue, **6** (1995), 25-33.
- [3] Pazy A., *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer–Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo 1983.
- [4] Tidke H.L., *Existence of solutions of nonlinear mixed integrodifferential equations of Sobolev type*, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, **14.4** (2009), 605-618.
- [5] Winiarska T., *Differential Equations with Parameter*, Monograph 68, Technical University of Cracow, Cracow 1988.