

LUDWIK BYSZEWSKI*

INFINITE IMPLICIT SYSTEM OF WEAK PARABOLIC
FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES
AND STRONG MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE
FOR THIS INFINITE SYSTEMNIESKOŃCZONY UWIKŁANY UKŁAD
SŁABYCH PARABOLICZNYCH FUNKCJONALNO-
-RÓŻNICZKOWYCH NIERÓWNOŚCI
I MOCNA ZASADA MAKSIMUM
DLA TEGO NIESKOŃCZONEGO UKŁADU

Abstract

The purpose of the paper is to prove a theorem on infinite implicit system of weak parabolic functional-differential inequalities and a theorem on strong maximum principle for infinite implicit system of parabolic functional-differential inequalities.

Keywords: infinite parabolic system, implicit system, theorem on weak inequalities, strong maximum principle

Streszczenie

Celem niniejszego artykułu jest udowodnienie twierdzenia o nieskończonym uwikłanym układzie słabych parabolicznych nierówności funkcjonalno-różniczkowych i twierdzenia o mocnej zasadzie maksimum dla nieskończonego uwikłanego układu parabolicznych nierówności funkcjonalno-różniczkowych.

Słowa kluczowe: nieskończone układy paraboliczne, układy uwikłane, twierdzenie o słabych nierównościach, mocna zasada maksimum

* Dr hab. Ludwik Byszewski, prof. PK, Instytut Matematyki, Wydział Fizyki, Matematyki i Informatyki Stosowanej, Politechnika Krakowska.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider an infinite implicit system of non-linear parabolic functional-differential inequalities of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &F_i(x, t, u^i(x, t), u_i^i(x, t), u_x^i(x, t), u_{xx}^i(x, t), u) \\ &\geq F_i(x, t, v^i(x, t), v_i^i(x, t), v_x^i(x, t), v_{xx}^i(x, t), v) \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for $(x, t) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, t) \in D$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (t_0, t_0 + T]$ is a relatively arbitrary set more general than the cylindrical domain $D_0 \times (t_0, t_0 + T] \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

The symbol $w (=u \text{ or } v)$ denotes the mapping

$$w: \mathbb{N} \times \tilde{D} \ni (i, x, t) \longrightarrow w^i(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$$

where \tilde{D} is an arbitrary set such that

$$\bar{D} \subset \tilde{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty, t_0 + T];$$

F_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are functionals of w , $w_x^i(x, t) = \text{grad}_x w^i(x, t)$ and $w_{xx}^i(x, t)$ denote the matrices of second order derivatives with respect to x of $w^i(x, t)$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$).

In the paper we prove Theorem 3.1 on infinite implicit system (1) of weak parabolic functional-differential inequalities and Theorem 4.1 on strong maximum principle for infinite implicit system (1).

Some results obtained are based on [1, 2, 5, 12–16, 18]. Some infinite and finite, parabolic and hyperbolic systems were considered by [3, 4, 7–11, 19].

Infinite parabolic systems have physical application. For this purpose please see the publication [17, 6].

2. Preliminaries

We shall use the following notations

$$\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty), \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

Let t_0 be a real finite number and let $0 < T < \infty$.

A set $D \subset \{(x, t) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t_0 < t \leq t_0 + T\}$ is called a set of type (P) if:

- the projection of the interior of set D on the t -axis is the interval $(t_0, t_0 + T)$,
- for every $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \in D$ there exists a positive number $r = r(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ such that

$$\{(x, t) : \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \tilde{x}_i)^2 + (t - \tilde{t})^2 < r, t < \tilde{t}\} \subset D$$

c) all the boundary points (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) of D for which there is a positive number $r = r(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ such that

$$\{(x, t) : \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \tilde{x}_i)^2 + (t - \tilde{t})^2 < r, t \leq \tilde{t}\} \subset D$$

belong to D .

Let \tilde{D} be an arbitrary set such that

$$\bar{D} \subset \tilde{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty, t_0 + T]$$

and let

$$\partial_p D := \tilde{D} \setminus D \quad (2)$$

For an arbitrary fixed point $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \in D$, we denote by $S^-(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ the set of points $(x, t) \in D$ that can be joined to (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) by a polygonal line contained in D along which the t -coordinate is weakly increasing from (x, t) to (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) .

Let $Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$ denote the linear space of mappings

$$w : \mathbb{N} \times \tilde{D} \ni (i, x, t) \rightarrow w^i(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$$

where functions

$$w^i : \tilde{D} \ni (x, t) \rightarrow w^i(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$$

are continuous in \bar{D} and

$$\sup \{|w^i(x, t)| : (x, t) \in \tilde{D}, i \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$$

For $w, \tilde{w} \in Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$ we write $w \leq \tilde{w}$ in the sense $w^i \leq \tilde{w}^i (i \in \mathbb{N})$.

In the set of mappings w belonging to $Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$ we define the functional $[\cdot]_{t, \infty}$ by the formula

$$[w]_{t, \infty} = \sup\{0, w^i(x, \tilde{t}) : (x, \tilde{t}) \in \tilde{D}, \tilde{t} \leq t, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (3)$$

where $t \leq t_0 + T$.

In particular

$$[w]_{t_0+T, \infty} = \sup\{0, w^i(x, t) : (x, t) \in \tilde{D}, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

By $Z_\infty^{2,1}(\tilde{D})$ we denote the linear subspace of $Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$. A mapping w belongs to $Z_\infty^{2,1}(\tilde{D})$ if $w_t^i, w_x^i = (w_{x_1}^i, \dots, w_{x_n}^i), w_{xx}^i = [w_{x_j x_k}^i]_{n \times n} (i \in \mathbb{N})$ are continuous in D .

By $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ we denote the space of real square symmetric matrices $r = [r_{jk}]_{n \times n}$.

For $r \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ we write $r \geq 0$ if $\sum_{j,k=1}^n r_{jk} \lambda_j \lambda_k \geq 0$ for all $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let the mappings

$$F_i : D \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \times Z_\infty(\tilde{D}) \ni (x, t, z, p, q, r, w) \\ \rightarrow F_i(x, t, z, p, q, r, w) \in \mathbb{R} \quad (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

be given and let for an arbitrary function $w \in Z_\infty^{2,1}(\tilde{D})$

$$F_i[x, t, w] := F_i(x, t, w^i(x, t), w_t^i(x, t), w_x^i(x, t), w_{xx}^i(x, t), w), \quad (x, t) \in D \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (4)$$

For a given subset $E \subset D$ and a given mapping $w \in Z_\infty^{2,1}(\tilde{D})$, and a fixed index $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the function F_i is called uniformly parabolic with respect to w in E if there is a constant $\kappa > 0$ (depending on E) such that for any two matrices $r = [r_{jk}] \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, $\tilde{r} = [\tilde{r}_{jk}] \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ and for $(x, t) \in E$ we have

$$r \leq \tilde{r} \Rightarrow F_i(x, t, w^i(x, t), w_t^i(x, t), w_x^i(x, t), \tilde{r}, w) \\ - F_i(x, t, w^i(x, t), w_t^i(x, t), w_x^i(x, t), r, w) \geq \kappa \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{r}_{jj} - r_{jj}) \quad (5)$$

If (5) is satisfied for $\kappa = 0$ and $r = w_{xx}^i(x, t)$, where $(x, t) \in D$, and for $\tilde{r} = w_{xx}^i(x, t) + \hat{r}$, where $(x, t) \in E$ and $\hat{r} \geq 0$, then F_i is called parabolic with respect to w in E .

Two functions $u, v \in Z_\infty^{2,1}(\tilde{D})$ are called solutions of the system

$$F_i[x, t, u] \geq F_i[x, t, v] \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (6)$$

in D , if they satisfy (6) for $(x, t) \in D$.

3. Theorem on infinite implicit system of weak parabolic functional-differential inequalities

Theorem 3.1. Assume that:

1⁰ $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (t_0, t_0 + T]$ is a set of type (P) and F_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are the functions from Section 2.

2⁰ There is a constant $L_0 > 0$ such that

$$F_i(x, t, z, p, q, r, w) - F_i(x, t, z, \tilde{p}, q, r, w) \leq L_0(\tilde{p} - p)$$

for $(x, t) \in D$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $p > \tilde{p}$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, $w \in Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$.

3⁰ There is a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$F_i(x, t, z, p, q, r, w) - F_i(x, t, \tilde{z}, p, q, r, w) \\ \leq L((z - \tilde{z}) + |x| \sum_{j=1}^n |q_j - \tilde{q}_j| + |x|^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n |r_{jk} - \tilde{r}_{jk}| + [w - \tilde{w}]_{t, \infty}) \quad (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

for

$$(i) \quad (x, t) \in D, |x| > L, z \geq \tilde{z}, p \in \mathbb{R}, q, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n, r, \tilde{r} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}), w, \tilde{w} \in Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$$

and for

$$(ii) \quad (x, t) \in D, |x| \leq L, z \geq \tilde{z}, p \in \mathbb{R}, q = \tilde{q}, r = \tilde{r}, w, \tilde{w} \in Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$$

4⁰ The functions $u, v \in Z_\infty^{2,1}(\tilde{D})$ satisfy the inequality

$$u(x, t) \leq v(x, t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \partial_p D \quad (7)$$

5⁰ F_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are parabolic with respect to u in D .

6⁰ The functions u and v are solutions of system (6) in D .

Then

$$u(x, t) \leq v(x, t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \tilde{D} \quad (8)$$

Proof. Firstly we shall show that for h , defined by the formula

$$h := \min \left\{ T, L_0 L^{-1} \ln \frac{3}{2} \right\} \quad (9)$$

we have

$$[u - v]_{t_0+h, \infty} \leq 0 \quad (10)$$

For this purpose fix index $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and put

$$F(x, t, z, p, q, r) := F_j(x, t, z, p, q, r, u) \quad (11)$$

Let

$$D_h := \{(x, t) : (x, t) \in D, t_0 < t \leq t_0 + h\}$$

Introduce the function η by the formula

$$\eta(x, t) = v^j(x, t) + [u - v]_{t_0+h, \infty} \left[\exp\left(\frac{t}{L_0}(t - t_0)\right) - 1 \right] \quad (12)$$

and observe that, by (7) and the definition of the functional $[\cdot]_{t, \infty}$, we have the inequality

$$u^j(x, t) \leq \eta(x, t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in (\tilde{D} \setminus D) \cap \partial D_h \quad (13)$$

From (11), assumption 6⁰, (12), assumptions 2⁰ and 3⁰, and again (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x, t, u^j(x, t), u_t^j(x, t), u_x^j(x, t), u_{xx}^j(x, t)) \\ & - F(x, t, \eta(x, t), \eta_t(x, t), \eta_x(x, t), \eta_{xx}(x, t)) \\ & = F_j(x, t, u^j(x, t), u_t^j(x, t), u_x^j(x, t), u_{xx}^j(x, t), u) \\ & - F_j(x, t, \eta(x, t), \eta_t(x, t), \eta_x(x, t), \eta_{xx}(x, t), u) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq F_j(x, t, v^j(x, t), v_t^j(x, t), v_x^j(x, t), v_{xx}^j(x, t), v) \\
&\quad - F_j(x, t, \eta(x, t), \eta_t(x, t), \eta_x(x, t), \eta_{xx}(x, t), u) \\
&= F_j(x, t, v^j(x, t), v_t^j(x, t), \eta_x(x, t), \eta_{xx}(x, t), v) \\
&\quad - F_j(x, t, \eta(x, t), \eta_t(x, t), \eta_x(x, t), \eta_{xx}(x, t), u) \\
&= F_j(x, t, v^j(x, t), v_t^j(x, t), \eta_x(x, t), \eta_{xx}(x, t), v) \\
&\quad - F_j(x, t, v^j(x, t), \eta_t(x, t), \eta_x(x, t), \eta_{xx}(x, t), v) \\
&\quad + F_j(x, t, v^j(x, t), \eta_t(x, t), \eta_x(x, t), \eta_{xx}(x, t), v) \\
&\quad - F_j(x, t, \eta(x, t), \eta_t(x, t), \eta_x(x, t), \eta_{xx}(x, t), u) \\
&\geq L_0 \frac{L}{L_0} [u - v]_{t_0+h, \infty} \exp\left(\frac{L}{L_0}(t - t_0)\right) \\
&\quad - L[u - v]_{t_0+h, \infty} [\exp\left(\frac{L}{L_0}(t - t_0)\right) - 1] - L[u - v]_{t_0+h, \infty} \\
&\geq 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in D_h
\end{aligned}$$

because

$$\eta_t(x, t) = v_t^j(x, t) + \frac{L}{L_0} [u - v]_{t_0+h, \infty} \exp\left(\frac{L}{L_0}(t - t_0)\right)$$

By (14), (13), assumptions 2^0 , 3^0 and 5^0 , we have, from Theorem 2.1 in [5], that

$$u^j(x, t) \leq \eta(x, t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \bar{D}_h \quad (15)$$

From (15) and (12)

$$u^j(x, t) - v^j(x, t) \leq [u - v]_{t_0+h, \infty} [\exp\left(\frac{L}{L_0}(t - t_0)\right) - 1] \quad (16)$$

By (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{LL_0^{-1}(t-t_0)} - 1 \leq e^{LL_0^{-1}h} - 1 \\
&\leq e^{LL_0^{-1}L_0L^{-1}\ln\frac{3}{2}} - 1 = e^{\ln\frac{3}{2}} - 1 = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0, t_0 + h]
\end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and consequently, from (16) and (17),

$$u^j(x, t) - v^j(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{2} [u - v]_{t_0+h, \infty} \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \bar{D}_h \quad (18)$$

Since (18) holds for an arbitrary fixed index $j \in \mathbb{N}$ then, by (3), (7) and (18)

$$[u - v]_{t_0+h, \infty} \leq \frac{1}{2} [u - v]_{t_0+h, \infty} \quad (19)$$

Consequently, (10) is satisfied.

If $h = T$ then the proof is complete.

For

$$h = L_0 L^{-1} \ln \frac{3}{2} < T$$

there are numbers $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tilde{h} \in [0, L_0 L^{-1} \ln \frac{3}{2})$ such that

$$t_0 + T = t_0 + s L_0 L^{-1} \ln \frac{3}{2} + \tilde{h}$$

If $s = 1$ then the above argument implies that (10) holds.

If $s = 2$ then substituting $t_0 + h$ in the place of t_0 and $\hat{D} = D \setminus D_h$ in the place of D , we have, by (7) and (10)

$$u(x, t) \leq v(x, t) \in \tilde{D} \setminus \hat{D}$$

We see that the above argument holds in the set \hat{D} and, consequently, we get

$$[u - v]_{t_0 + 2h, \infty} \leq 0$$

If $s > 2$ then, by the suitably modified argument for $s = 1$ and $s = 2$, we have

$$[u - v]_{t_0 + sh, \infty} \leq 0$$

In the case if $\tilde{h} = 0$, and so if

$$t_0 + sh = t_0 + T$$

the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

To prove, finally, the proof of our theorem it is enough to show that

$$[u - v]_{t_0 + sh + \tilde{h}, \infty} \leq 0$$

where $\tilde{h} \in (0, L_0 L^{-1} \ln \frac{3}{2})$. But, in this case, the proof is the modification of the proofs in cases $s = 1$ and $s > 2$. In particular for $\tilde{h} \in (0, L_0 L^{-1} \ln \frac{3}{2})$ the following inequality holds

$$e^{L_0^{-1} \tilde{h}} - 1 < \frac{1}{2}$$

Then, for

$$h = L_0 L^{-1} \ln \frac{3}{2} < T$$

the proof is complete.

4. Theorem on strong maximum principle for infinite implicit system of parabolic functional-differential inequalities

Theorem 4.1. Let assumptions 1^0 , 2^0 , 4^0 , 5^0 and 6^0 of Theorem 3.1 be satisfied. Replace assumption 3^0 of Theorem 3.1 by a stronger assumption:

7⁰ There is a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & F_i(x, t, z, p, q, r, w) - F_i(x, t, \tilde{z}, p, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}, \tilde{w}) \\ & \leq L(|z - \tilde{z}| + |x| \sum_{j=1}^n |q_j - \tilde{q}_j| + |x|^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n |r_{jk} - \tilde{r}_{jk}| + [w - \tilde{w}]_{t, \infty}) \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, t) \in D$, $z, \tilde{z} \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $q, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r, \tilde{r} \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, $w, \tilde{w} \in Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$.

Suppose finally that:

8⁰ There is a constant $L_* > 0$ such that

$$F_i(x, t, z, p, q, r, w) - F_i(x, t, z, \tilde{p}, q, r, w) \leq L_* |p - \tilde{p}| \quad (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

for all $(x, t) \in D$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $p, \tilde{p} \in \mathbb{R}$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, $w \in Z_\infty(\tilde{D})$.

9⁰ F_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are uniformly parabolic with respect to v any compact subset of D .

Under those assumptions inequality (8) is satisfied and, moreover, if for some point $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \in D$ and some index $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the inequality

$$u^j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = v^j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$$

is satisfied then

$$u^j(x, t) = v^j(x, t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in S^-(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \quad (20)$$

Proof. Inequality (8) is a consequence of Theorem 3.1. Now, suppose that the second assertion of Theorem 4.1 is not true. Then there exist two points $(x^*, t^*) \in S^-(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ and $(x^{**}, t^{**}) \in S^-(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$, where $t^* < t^{**}$, such that the segment joining them is contained in D and

$$u^j(x^{**}, t^{**}) = v^j(x^{**}, t^{**}) \quad (21)$$

and

$$u^j(x^*, t^*) < v^j(x^*, t^*) \quad (22)$$

Denoting by $|\cdot|$ the Euclidean norm and putting

$$\xi = \frac{x^{**} - x^*}{t^{**} - t^*}$$

we introduce the functions ψ and φ by the formulas

$$\psi(x, t) = \delta^2 - \left| x - x^* - \xi(t - t^*) \right|^2$$

$$\varphi(x, t) = e^{-\alpha t} \psi^2(x, t)$$

δ and α being positive constants to be determined later.

Consider the oblique cylinder

$$Q = \{(x, t) : \psi > 0, t^* < t \leq t^{**}\}$$

with (x^*, t^*) and (x^{**}, t^{**}) as the centers of the bases. We choose δ so small that $\bar{Q} \subset D$ and that on the lower base B of Q the inequality

$$u^j(x, t^*) < v^j(x, t^*), \quad (x, t^*) \in B \quad (23)$$

is satisfied.

Put

$$F(x, t, z, p, q, r) = F_j(x, t, z, p, q, r, u) \quad (24)$$

Now, setting

$$w^\varepsilon(x, t) = v^j(x, t) - \varepsilon \varphi(x, t), \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

we will prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x, t, u^j(x, t), u_t^j(x, t), u_x^j(x, t), u_{xx}^j(x, t), u) \\ & \geq F(x, t, w^\varepsilon(x, t), w_t^\varepsilon(x, t), w_x^\varepsilon(x, t), w_{xx}^\varepsilon(x, t), u), \quad (x, t) \in Q \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

provided that α is chosen large enough.

To this effect, we substitute $v^j = w^\varepsilon + \varepsilon \varphi$ in (6) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & F_j(x, t, u^j(x, t), u_t^j(x, t), u_x^j(x, t), u_{xx}^j(x, t), u) \\ & \geq F_j(x, t, v^j(x, t), w_t^\varepsilon(x, t) + \varepsilon \varphi_t, v_x^j(x, t), w_{xx}^\varepsilon(x, t) + \varepsilon \varphi_{xx}, v), \quad (x, t) \in D \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

A direct computation gives

$$\varphi_t = -\alpha e^{-\alpha t} \psi^2 + 4e^{-\alpha t} \psi \xi \circ (x - x^* - \xi(t - t^*)) \quad (27)$$

$$\varphi_x = -4e^{-\alpha t} \psi (x - x^* - \xi(t - t^*)) \quad (28)$$

$$\varphi_{xx} = -4e^{-\alpha t} \psi I + r \quad (29)$$

where I is the identity matrix and r is the matrix with the elements

$$8e^{-\alpha t} (x_k - x_k^* - \xi_k(t - t^*))(x_l - x_l^* - \xi_l(t - t^*))$$

Since $\varepsilon r \geq 0$, it follows from (26) and (29), by assumption 9⁰ (see (5)) that for $(x, t) \in Q$

$$\begin{aligned} & F_j(x, t, u^j(x, t), u_t^j(x, t), u_x^j(x, t), u_{xx}^j(x, t), u) \\ & \geq F_j(x, t, v^j(x, t), w_t^\varepsilon(x, t) + \varepsilon \varphi_t, v_x^j(x, t), w_{xx}^\varepsilon(x, t) - 4\varepsilon e^{-\alpha t} \psi I, v) \\ & \quad + 8\varepsilon \kappa e^{-\alpha t} \left| x - x^* - \xi(t - t^*) \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality and assumption 7⁰ together with (8) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & F_j(x, t, u^j(x, t), u_t^j(x, t), u_x^j(x, t), u_{xx}^j(x, t), u) \\ & \geq F_j(x, t, w^\varepsilon(x, t) + \varepsilon\varphi, w_t^\varepsilon(x, t) + \varepsilon\varphi_t, w_x^\varepsilon(x, t) + \varepsilon\varphi_x \\ & \quad w_{xx}^\varepsilon(x, t) - 4\varepsilon e^{-\alpha t} \psi I, u) \\ & \quad + 8\varepsilon\kappa e^{-\alpha t} |x - x^* - \xi(t - t^*)|^2, \quad (x, t) \in Q \end{aligned}$$

From assumption 2⁰ and formula (27) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & F_j(x, t, u^j(x, t), u_t^j(x, t), u_x^j(x, t), u_{xx}^j(x, t), u) \\ & \geq F_j(x, t, w^\varepsilon(x, t) + \varepsilon\varphi, w_t^\varepsilon(x, t) + 4\varepsilon e^{-\alpha t} \psi \varphi \circ (x - x^* - \xi(t - t^*))) \\ & \quad w_x^\varepsilon(x, t) + \varepsilon\varphi_x, w_{xx}^\varepsilon(x, t) - 4\varepsilon e^{-\alpha t} \psi I, u) \\ & \quad + 8\varepsilon\kappa e^{-\alpha t} |x - x^* - \xi(t - t^*)|^2 + L_0 \varepsilon \alpha e^{-\alpha t} \psi^2, \quad (x, t) \in Q \end{aligned}$$

Now, by applying assumptions 7⁰ and 8⁰, the definition of function φ , (28) and (24), we get from the above inequality the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x, t, u^j(x, t), u_t^j(x, t), u_x^j(x, t), u_{xx}^j(x, t), u) \\ & \geq F(x, t, w^\varepsilon(x, t), w_t^\varepsilon(x, t), w_x^\varepsilon(x, t), w_{xx}^\varepsilon(x, t)) \\ & \quad + \varepsilon g(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, t) &= (L_0 \alpha - L) e^{-\alpha t} \psi^2 + \{8\kappa |x - x^* - \xi(t - t^*)|^2 \\ & \quad - 4\psi [nL\delta(\delta + |x^*| + |x^{**} - x^*|) \\ & \quad + nL(\delta + |x^*| + |x^{**} - x^*|)^2 + L_* \delta |\xi|]\} e^{-\alpha t} \end{aligned}$$

The expression in the braces tends uniformly in Q to $8\kappa\delta^2 > 0$ as ψ tends to 0. Hence, there is a μ such that $\delta > \mu > 0$ and $g(x, t) > 0$ for $(x, t) \in Q$ with $0 < \psi(x, t) < \mu$. On the other hand, for $(x, t) \in Q$ such that $\delta \geq \psi(x, t) \geq \mu > 0$, we can choose $\alpha > 0$ so large as to make $(L_0 \alpha - L) \psi^2$ larger than the absolute value of the expression in braces which is bounded independently of α . In this way, α being chosen sufficiently large, we can make $g(x, t) > 0$ in Q , and thus, by (30), we get (25). As a consequence of (23) we can choose $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ so small that

$$u^j(x, t^*) \leq w^\varepsilon(x, t^*), \quad (x, t^*) \in B \tag{31}$$

Finally, observe that, by (8), on the side surface of Q we have

$$u^j(x, t) \leq w^\varepsilon(x, t) \quad (32)$$

since $w^\varepsilon(x, t) = v^j(x, t)$ there.

According to assumption 5⁰ we verify that the function F is parabolic with respect to u^j . This last remark together with assumptions 1⁰, 2⁰, 7⁰, and formulas (25), (31), (32) shows that for functions u^j, w^ε and F all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 in [5] are satisfied in Q with $m=1$. Therefore

$$u^j(x, t) \leq w^\varepsilon(x, t) = v^j(x, t) - \varepsilon\varphi(x, t)$$

in \bar{Q} and in particular, since $\varphi(x^{**}, t^{**}) > 0$

$$u^j(x^{**}, t^{**}) < v^j(x^{**}, t^{**})$$

what contradicts (21).

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

References

- [1] Besala P., *An extension of the strong maximum principle for parabolic equation*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. sci. math., astr. et phys. 19, 1971, 1003-1006.
- [2] Brandys J., *Infinite Systems of Functional-Differential Inequalities*, Ph.D. thesis, Cracow 2003.
- [3] Brzychczy S., *On the existence of solutions of nonlinear infinite systems of parabolic differential-functional equations*, Univ. Jagiel. Acta Math. 40, 2002, 31-38.
- [4] Brzychczy S., *Existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear infinite systems of parabolic differential-functional equations*, Ann. Polon. Math. 77.1, 2001, 1-9.
- [5] Byszewski L., *Strong maximum principle for implicit nonlinear parabolic functional-differential inequalities in arbitrary domains*, Univ. Jagiel. Acta Math. 24, 1984, 327-339.
- [6] Guiaş F., *Coagulation – fragmentation processes: relation between finite particles models and differential equations*, preprint 98-41 (SFB 359), Universität Heidelberg 1998.
- [7] Jaruszevska-Walczak D., *Comparison theorems for infinite systems of parabolic functional-differential equations*, Ann. Polon. Math. 77.3, 2001, 261-269.
- [8] Kamont Z., *Hyperbolic Functional Differential Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht 1999.
- [9] Kamont Z., *Infinite systems of hyperbolic functional differential inequalities*, Nonlinear Anal. 51, 2002, 1429-1445.
- [10] Lakshmikantham V., Leela S., *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, Vol. I, II, Academic Press, New York 1968.
- [11] Pudełko A., *Existence of solutions for infinite systems of parabolic equations with functional dependence*, Ann. Polon. Math. 86.2, 2005, 123-135.

- [12] Redheffer R., Walter W., *Das Maximumprinzip in unbeschränkten Gebieten für parabolische Ungleichungen mit Funktionalen*, Math. Ann. 226, 1977, 155-170.
- [13] Szarski J., *Differential Inequalities*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw 1967.
- [14] Szarski J., *Strong maximum principle for nonlinear parabolic differential-functional inequalities*, Ann. Polon. Math. 29, 1974, 207-214.
- [15] Szarski J., *Infinite systems of parabolic differential-functional inequalities*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. sci. math. 28.9-10, 1980, 477-481.
- [16] Walter W., *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1970.
- [17] Wrzosek D., *Singular properties of solutions of Smoluchowski system of equations* (in Polish), Roczniki Polskiego Towarzystwa Matematycznego, Seria II: Wiadomości Matematyczne 35, 1999, 11-35.
- [18] Yoshida N., *Maximum principles for implicit parabolic equations*, Proc. Japan Acad. 49, 1973, 785-788.
- [19] Zabawa T., *Stability of solutions of infinite systems of nonlinear differential-functional equations of parabolic type*, Opuscula Mathematica 26.1, 2006, 173-183.